

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,207	10/06/2000	Dimitri Kanevsky	YOR9-2000-0242-US1	2524
75	590 04/13/2004		EXAMINER	
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			FRECH, KARL D	
·	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.	<b>P.</b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10th Floor One Landmark	Square		2876	
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//_/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W_
	09/684,207	KANEVSKY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	***
	Karl D Frech	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde	wance except for formal matte	-	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 43-46 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17,43-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	· · · · · ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been in the reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	A) [] Interniew C	Immon/PTO 4421	
1)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-15 _·	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/684,207 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

1. The amendment received January 12, 2004 has been considered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims s 1-17,43-46 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al 5,742,039 in view of Johnson et al 5,991,876. Sato and Johnson disclose the elements as seen in the previous office action of March 17, 2003 and remain combinable for the same reasons as previously set forth. As seen in the office action of October 6, 2003, Sato and Johnson fail to teach or disclose affixing a semiconductor device to a book as now claimed. Garber et al 6,486,780 teaches throughout a RF tag insertable (affixable) to a book. There is disclosed a memory device (semiconductor device) for maintaining records regarding the book. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the system of Garber

Application/Control Number: 09/684,207 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

and replace the label of Sato/Johnson with the RF tag of Garber. This would allow for constant tracking of the location of a book within a facility and for automatic updating of the records regarding the book. Although Sato, Johnson and Garber do not specifically disclose "by the consumer" as now claimed, a consumer is, if not inherently included in the Sato, Johnson and Garber combination, it would certainly have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to limit the number of copies by a consumer in order to avoid consumer theft of more copies that allowed.

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Garber is not combinable with Sato and Johnson because Garber's internal mechanism is not directed to the same invention as Sato and Johnson. It is the examiner's position that Garber was used only for the teaching of "tagging" a book in order to maintain records, not for the internal mechanism of the tag of Garber itself. The claimed mechanism is taught by Sato and Johnson.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Art Unit: 2876

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2876

\* \* **\***